SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): November 15, 2000

Commission Registrant; State of Incorporation; IRS Employer File Number Address; and Telephone Number Identification No.

1-16169 EXELON CORPORATION 23-2990190

(a Pennsylvania corporation) 37th Floor, 10 South Dearborn Street

Post Office Box A-3005 Chicago, Illinois 60690-3005

312/394-4321

Item 5. Other Events.

Set forth below is the text of the slides used during the Exelon Investor Conference held in New York on November 15, 2000 to explain the merger of PECO Energy Company and Unicom Corporation to form Exelon Corporation and Exelon's strategy and earnings targets.

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on management's current expectations and are subject to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. Actual results may vary materially from the expectations contained herein. The forward-looking statements herein include statements about future financial and operating results of Exelon Corporation. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those described herein: economic, business, competitive and/or regulatory factors affecting Exelon's businesses generally. More detailed information about those factors is set forth in the joint proxy statement/ prospectus regarding the merger and in the PECO Energy, Unicom Corporation and Exelon Corporation reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this presentation. Exelon Corporation does not undertake any obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this presentation.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number

Description of Exhibit

- 1. None
- 2. None
- 4. None
- 16. None
- 17. None
- 20. None
- 23. None
- 24. None
- 27. None
- 99. Exelon slide presentation at the Exelon Investor Conference, November 15, 2000

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Exelon Corporation (Registrant)

Date: November 15, 2000 By: /s/ Ruth Ann M. Gillis

Ruth Ann M. Gillis Senior VP & CFO

Excellence-Execution-Exelon

Corbin A. McNeill, Jr., Chairman and Co-CEO John W. Rowe, President and Co-CEO

Exelon Investor Conference November 15, 2000

Important Notice:

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on management's current expectations and are subject to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. Actual results may vary materially from the expectations contained herein. The forward-looking statements herein include statements about future financial and operating results of Exelon Corporation. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those described herein: economic, business, competitive and/or regulatory factors affecting Exelon's businesses generally. More detailed information about those factors is set forth in the joint proxy statement/ prospectus regarding the merger and in the reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by PECO Energy Company, Unicom Corporation and Exelon. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this presentation. Exelon Corp. does not undertake any obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this presentation.

Today's Agenda

- . Strategic Overview
 - John Rowe
- . Exelon Generation
 - Corbin McNeill, Oliver Kingsley, Ian McLean
- . Exelon Energy Delivery Pam Strobel
- . Exelon Enterprises
 - Michael Egan
- . Financial Overview
 - Ruth Ann Gillis
- . Wrap-up and Final Q&A

The Power of Exelon

- . 46 GWs of low-cost generation*
- . A power marketing operation that optimizes value
- . Strong and steady earnings streams from regulated businesses
- . Access to 5M customers in deregulated markets
- . Financial scale to pursue growth in multiple segments of utility industry
- . A platform for future growth in the energy, telecommunications and energy-services arenas $\,$
- * Includes 10 GW from pending Sithe acquisition

What Differentiates Us

- . Sustained nuclear operational excellence led by industry's premier management team $\,$
- . A generation portfolio with a strong competitive advantage
- . An unwavering focus on financial and strategic discipline
- . Proven ability to execute and deliver on our commitments

Exelon's Integrated Strategy

[This slide is a diagram which illustrates the integrated strategy. The three main business segments are shown as interlocking trapezoids arranged horizontally: 1) Energy Delivery; 2) Generation and Power Marketing; 3) Enterprises. Extending under all of the trapezoids is a thin rectangle.]

[Legends in the trapezoids:]

Energy Delivery

- ------

significant and steady source of earnings for reinvestment in growth of the corporation $\ensuremath{\mathsf{G}}$

Generation and Power Marketing

- ------

primary growth vehicle in the near-term

Enterprises

- -----

positioning to provide longer term growth prospects

[Legend in the rectangle:]

Linking capabilities, assets and market presence to create continuous opportunities to build shareholder value

Accountability and Ownership

- . Performance-based compensation with 55-75% of executive compensation "atrisk:"
 - Compensation specifically linked to corporate and business unit performance indicators
 - . such as, earnings, cash flow, shareholder return, capacity factor, reliability performance, business development, etc.
 - Compensation structure includes long and short term incentives, including stock options and restricted grants
- . Stock ownership guideline based on a multiple of base salary established for officers $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

Addressing Key Strategic Issues

- . Develop a corporate structure that optimizes opportunities
- . Increase scale through disciplined, opportunistic M&A strategy $\,$
- . Identify next round of generation opportunities $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
- . Make transmission an effective and profitable business
- . Develop premium pricing for premium service

Our Commitments to You

- . Capture cost and revenue synergies of \$265 M by 2003
- . Deliver on service reliability investments
- . Maintain top quartile nuclear plant performance
- . Sustain low cost advantage while expanding national generation portfolio
- . Leverage competencies into new market opportunities
- . EPS in 2001 of \$4.50 growing at approximately 10% to \$4.95 in 2002 and \$5.40 in 2003 $\,$

Projected EPS to Common

[This slide is a vertical bar graph depicting projected earnings per share for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003.]

\$/Share

2001	\$4.50
2002	\$4.95
2003	\$5.40

Exelon Generation

Corbin A. McNeill, Jr., Chairman and Co-CEO Oliver Kingsley, Chief Nuclear Officer Ian McLean, President, Power Team

Generation Strategy

- . Develop national generation portfolio with fuel and dispatch diversity
- . Grow asset portfolio through: . mergers/acquisitions

 - . development
 - . innovative technology
 - . joint ventures
 - . long term off-take contracts
- . Drive cost and operational leadership through proven fleet management and economies of scale
- . Optimize value of our low-cost position through power marketing expertise

Generation Growth and Optimization Initiatives

[This slide shows five interlocking puzzle pieces with a legend in each: upper left-hand corner: "Utilize core competency in nuclear operations"; upper right-hand corner: "Strategically expand clusters of generating capacity"; lower left-hand corner: "Future development work"; lower right-hand corner: "Asset portfolio balancing"; center of puzzle: "Diversified power trading operations".]

Generation Supply Footprint

[This slide depicts a map of North America which identifies each of the National Electricity Reliability Council regions and indicates the amount of Exelon generation in each region:]

WSCC 200 MW MAPP 0 MW **ERCOT** 1,060 MW 800 MW SPP 21,700 MW MAIN **FRCC** O MW 1,000 MW **SERC ECAR** O MW 11,000 MW MACC

NPCC 6,400 MW Plus 3,600 MW under development

[The slide also contains three boxes with additional information:]

[First box:] 37,800 MW in operation 4,300 MW in construction 4,200 MW in development

46,300 MW* TOTAL

[Second box:]

plus 220 MW in construction and under development in Mexico

[Third box:]

plus 340 $\overline{\text{MW}}$ of CT peaking capacity currently under development

* includes 10.7 GW of capacity pending from purchases of Vermont Yankee, Sithe and Peach Bottom

How We're Different....

- . Strategically integrated GenCo
 - Fully integrated assets and operations
 - Supply and distribution channels are open within one portfolio
- . Asset-backed physical deliverers
- . Large "native" retail hedge (ComEd and PECO)
- . Market driven

Exelon Nuclear Business Strategy

- . To be the premier nuclear operator worldwide
- . To achieve that vision, we will \ldots

 - . increase fleet output . improve fleet efficiency
 - . sustain fleet operational excellence

... through a proven fleet management model, an experienced management team, engaged employees, and economies of scale

Increasing Nuclear Fleet Production

Increase fleet capacity factor:

- . Reduce average refueling duration from 30 to 20 days
- . Reduce forced outage rate from 2.6% to 1.5%
 - . aggressively manage all threats to generation
 - . improve material condition
- . Implement best-in-class operating practices fleet-wide

[This slide also contains a vertical bar chart showing projected average capacity factor for the fleet for the years 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003. Indicated below each year are the number of refueling outages scheduled in that particular year.]

	Capacity	Refueling
Year	Factor	O utages
2000	90.6	11 RF0's
2001	91.6	7 RF0's
2002	90.9	11 RF0's
2003	93.5	8 RF0's

Refueling Performance Leadership

We've demonstrated world-class refueling outage experience:

- . 5 of 6 refuelings conducted this fall have been completed in (less than or equal to) 20 days
 - Two have set industry records
 - All 6 have been in top quartile
- . Exelon fleet plants have out-paced industry performance
- . The economic value of reducing outage duration by one day is \sim \$400,000/unit
- . Refueling outage execution is a competitive differentiator

[This slide also contains a vertical bar chart showing, side by side, the average refueling outage duration in days during the years 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000 for the U.S. Industry and Exelon.]

Year	U.S.	Industry	Exelor
1997		64	58
1998		51	53
1999		40	30
2000		39	21

More Capacity -- Increased Generation

Exelon Nuclear is adding capacity:

- . Planned power uprates on ten units -- 885 MW
- . Other planned capacity additions via modifications, feedwater flow improvement, etc. -- 175 $\,\mathrm{MW}$
- . Planned purchase of additional 7% of Peach Bottom -- 164 MW
- . Combination of improved capacity factors and added capability will increase net generation through $2003\,$
 - by approximately 9 million MWh

Fleet Net Generation

. Equivalent to new 1200 MW unit, at an average cost of \sim \$205/kw

[This slide also contains a vertical bar chart showing the projected fleet net generation in millions of megawatt-hours for the years 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003.]

· ca·	(million MWh's)
2000 2001 2002	113.50 115.33 117.33
2003	122.44
	eration contribution to EPS: an add'l 1 million MWh equals ly 6 cents per share.

[LOGO FOR EXELON]

Year

Exelon Nuclear's Competitive Cost Advantage

The fleet has competitive operating costs:

- . Fleet aggregate cost is better than nuclear industry median
- . 7 of 10 plants are currently in nuclear best quartile for production cost
- . Exelon fleet fuel cost per MWh is in the industry best quartile

[This slide also contains a vertical bar chart showing the projected average production cost in dollars per megawatt-hour for the years 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003. Also shown on the chart are reference lines for the 1999 Industry Median (\$17.10) and the 1999 Top Quartile (\$14.52).]

Year	\$/MWh
2000	15.52
2001	14.16
2002	14.20
2003	13.46

Reduce production cost by 14% by 2003 through lower cost and higher production.

AmerGen Summary

- . 2,335 MWs in portfolio, acquired at average cost of \$30/kW
- . Approx. 90% of AmerGen's output in 2001 sold under PPAs; contracts expire 2002-2004
- . Continue to pursue additional acquisitions, with recognition of increasingly competitive landscape $\,$
- . Estimate \$65 M net income contribution in 2001

Continued Cost Reduction Plans

- . 0&M cost reduction of 8-10% between 2000-2003
 - Capture merger synergies
 - Bring economies of fleet scale to single unit sites
 - Planned staffing reductions to achieve standard "best in fleet"
 - Materials, equipment, services cost reductions through price, volume, consolidation synergies
- . Additional fuel cost reductions planned
 - Contract management, leverage
 - All aspects of fuel design and management
- . We will transfer knowledge of achieving low cost operations fleet-wide

 ${\bf 2}$ cents per kilowatt-hour all-in cost target is competitive under any view of the future

Scale is a Competitive Advantage

- . Size brings benefit
 - Significant pool of expertise, experience
 - Nuclear industry strategic policy and program leadership
 - Economies of scale in commercial leverage, materials management, resource sharing
 - AmerGen partnership contributes to scale and leverage
- . Management team experience in:
 - Turnaround of poor performers
 - Improving good performers
 - Sustaining excellent performance
- . Transfer of expertise and best-in-class practices to new acquisitions

A Low-Cost and Reliable Portfolio

Nuclear Power is Reliable and Cost-Competitive

- . Fuel price stability
- . Predictable, constructive nuclear regulatory environment
- . Clear path to life extension, license renewal

Exelon Nuclear Has Competitive Differentiators

- . Operational excellence resulting in nuclear safety
- . Low operating costs
- . Competitive balance sheet going forward
- . Demonstrated operating track record
- . Focus on excellent material condition
- . World-class refueling performance
- . Target -- breaker-to-breaker operations (no forced outages)

Power Team

Our goal is simple:

To be the World's Best Power Marketer.

[This slide shows a equilateral triangle inscribed with the words "Market Focused". Written at the top of the triangle is "Fuel Diversity"; at the lower left is "National Reach"; at the lower right is "Asset-based Portfolio". On each side of the triangle are words which indicate a link between the two points: between National Reach and Fuel Diversity is the word "Innovation"; between Fuel Diversity and Asset-based Portfolio is the word "Reliability"; and between Asset-based Portfolio and National Reach is the phrase "Competitive Costs".]

Power Marketing Strategy

- . Lead industry in asset-based, market driven power marketing
- . Drive generation asset growth through Power Team's unique market knowledge and analytical expertise
- . Market focused portfolio that allows for sale of innovative premium products
- . Pursue financial trading to complement a physical portfolio
- . Manage risk through optimal mix of long and short-term supply obligations

[This slide also contains a stacked vertical bar chart showing the projected Gigawatt-hour Deliveries for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003 differentiated by 1) Affiliate Sales; 2) Market Sales, and 3) Sithe*.]

Year	Affiliate Sales	Market Sales	Sithe*	Total
2001	107,000	77,000	0	184,000
2002	103,500	92,100	0	195,600
2003	103,300	100,300	30,000	233,600

^{*} assumes acquisition of 100% of Sithe assets

Extracting Value

- . Combined portfolio provides diverse platform to expand national reach
- . Geographic diversity of assets
 - Better utilization of owned transmission
 - improves asset utilization
 - enables market expansion
- . Diversity of generation \min enhances portfolio of higher margin, custom products

[This slide contains a vertical bar chart showing the projected market deliveries, in Gigawatt-hours, by geographic region for the year 2000.]

Region	2000 Projected Market Deliveries
Mid-West	27,800
Mid-Atlantic	35,200
Northeast	7, 200
South	10,100
West	2,900
Sithe*	10,000

^{*} Includes projected sales related to the pending Sithe acquisition

Combining Portfolios to Enhance Margins

[This slide shows a diagram which illustrates how combining this portfolio will enhance margins. There are four ovals, one at each corner of the slide, surrounding a central oval. Arrows point from each outer oval to the central oval. The central oval is inscribed "Premium Product Sales"; the outer ovals are inscribed: upper left-"ComEd Generation"; upper right- "PECO Generation"; lower left- "AmerGen Generation"; and lower right- "Contracted Supply". ComEd Generation is associated with "Midwest Base Load in winter, Spring, and Fall". PECO Generation is associated with "Mid-Atlantic Intermediate and Peaking Yearround". AmerGen Generation is associated with "Base load Year-round". Contracted Supply is associated with "Regionally Diverse Intermediate and Peaking". Between the ComEd Generation and the PECO Generation ovals is the phrase: "Decreased costs from combining supply resources". Between the AmerGen Generation and the Contracted Supply ovals is the phrase: "Increased revenue from enhanced product offerings".]

Margin Enhancements

Primary benefits from combining portfolio:

- . Portfolio synergy (lower cost of supply/higher sales revenue)
- . Redundant transmission savings
- . O&M savings by combining trading floors

[This slide also contains a vertical bar chart showing the projected operating profit in millions of dollars for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003.]

Year Operating Profit
2001 \$32 million
2002 \$62 million
2003 \$75 million

Value-Creating Growth

- . Sithe acquisition
 - 49.9% by 2001, remainder in 3-5 years
- . 500 MW of new peaking capacity
 - 160MW sited in LaPorte, TX in agreement with Air Products for summer 2001
- . Continuous Portfolio Growth Strategy for Target Regions
 - Asset Acquisitions
 - Long-Term Off-take Agreements
 - Joint-Ventures

[This slide also contains a stacked vertical bar chart showing the projected Gigawatt-hour Supply for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003 differentiated by 1) Owned Assets; 2) EME, 3) Acquisitions/Growth and 4) Sithe*.]

Year	Owned Assets	EME	Acq./Growth	Sithe*	Total
2001	123,000	31,000	30,100	Θ	184,100
2002	125,000	20,000	51,000	0	196,000
2003	129,400	9,000	65,200	30,000	233,600

 $^{^{\}star}$ assumes acquisition of 100% of Sithe assets

Our Competitive Advantages

- . Firm transmission positions and competency
- . Generation Supply low cost base-load nuclear balanced with fossil acquisitions, development and contract positions $\,$
- . Native load hedge and physical delivery expertise
- . Generation development and fossil fuel management skills acquired
- . Active and experienced in the deregulating environment.

GenCo Financial Projections

	2001	
Revenue	\$6.4 B	
Gross Margin	\$2.9 B	
0&M / A&G	\$1.4 B	
EBIT	\$980 M	
СарЕх	\$950 M	
20	01-2003	-

Revenue growth 12%

. Increasing Volume

- 15% annual market sales growth

...despite:

. Declining forward curves

- 10% reduction over 2001-2003 timeframe

- -----

GenCo Financial Projections

	2001	<u>l</u>
Revenue	\$6.4	В
Gross Margin	\$2.9	В
O&M / A&G	\$1.4	В
EBIT	\$980	М
CapEx	\$950	М
2001-2003		

EBIT growth (greater than)35%

- . Premium product focus
- . Stable O&M / A&G
- . Equity earnings growth: AmerGen & Sithe
- ...despite:
- . Continued commoditization

- -----

GenCo Financial Projections

	2001
Revenue	\$6.4 B
Gross Margin	\$2.9 B
O&M / A&G	\$1.4 B
EBIT	\$980 M
CapEx	\$950 M
20	 01-2003

CapEx increase due to:

- . Sithe and additional development opportunities
- . Continued AmerGen investments
- . Targeted reinvestment in existing assets

- -----

Questions?

Exelon Energy Delivery

Pamela Strobel President, Exelon Energy Delivery

Energy Delivery Footprint

[This slide contains a graphic image depicting the Continental United States and ovals containing the following data:]

Chicago

_ _____

3.4 M Customers 90,500 GWh Sales

Philadelphia

- -----

1.5 M Customers
0.4 M Gas Customers

35,000 GWh Sales

Exelon Energy Delivery

- -----

4.9 M Customers

0.4 M Gas Customers

125,500 GWh Sales

[The Chicago and Philadelphia ovals are each linked to the Exelon Energy Delivery oval by arrows.]

Energy Delivery Strategy

[This slide shows a diagram of three stacked rectangles, decreasing in width from bottom to top. The bottom rectangle is divided into three sections linked by arrows and labeled from left to right: 1) "Deliver Reliable Service"; (leads to) 2) "Improve Customer Service"; (leads to) 3) "Sustain Productive Regulatory Relationships". The middle rectangle is labeled "Maximizing Value from Assets". The top rectangle is labeled "Optimize Opportunities".]

Improving ComEd's Reliability

[This slide shows two line graphs side by side. The first graph shows the average number of interruptions from December 1998 through October 2000. The graph indicates a 32% improvement since December 1998.]

12 Months Ending	Average Number of Interruptions
Dec-98	2.18
Jan-99	2.17
Feb-99	2.21
Mar-99	1.82
Apr-99	1.82
May-99	1.76
Jun-99	1.72
Jul-99	1.83
Aug-99	1.74
Sep-99	1.69
Oct-99	1.66
Nov-99	1.49
Dec-99	1.49
Jan-00	1.41
Feb-00	1.44
Mar-00	1.43
Apr-00	1.48
May-00	1.60
Jun-00	1.52
Jul-00	1.35
Aug-00	1.40
Sep-00	1.46
Oct-00	1.48

[The second line graph shows the average duration of interruptions from December 1998 through October 2000. The graph indicates a 46% improvement since December 1998.]

12 Months Ending	Average Duration of Interruptions (Minutes)
Dec-98 Jan-99 Feb-99 Mar-99 Apr-99 May-99 Jun-99 Jul-99 Aug-99 Sep-99 Oct-99 Nov-99 Dec-99 Jan-00 Feb-00 Mar-00 Apr-00 May-00 Jun-00 Jul-00 Aug-00	269 273 274 212 211 205 195 205 184 176 175 136 136 136 136 136 136 136 137 134 158 154 129 138
Sep-00 Oct-00	141 144

Reliability - Becoming An Industry Leader

. Maintaining the infrastructure

ComEd

- . Catching up to get systems in order
- . Replacing older equipment

PEC0

- . Maintaining good record on outage frequency
- . Improving outage duration
- . Managing outages
 - Specific programs: tree-trimming, sectionalizing
 - Re-engineering storm response protocols

Reliability: Keeping Up With Growth

[This slide contains a line graph showing historical Chicago load projections in Megawatts for the years 1990 through 2000. From the year 2000 through 2005 there are three possible projections: low projection - Without Data Centers; medium projection - With Data Centers (Minimum Estimate); and a high projection - With Data Centers (High End estimate).]

Year	Without Data Centers	With Data Centers (Minimum Estimate)	With Data Centers (High End Estimate)
1990	4723	4723	4723
1991	4707	4707	4707
1992	4262	4262	4262
1993	4536	4536	4536
1994	4524	4524	4524
1995	4946	4946	4946
1996	4745	4745	4745
1997	4693	4693	4693
1998	4869	4869	4869
1999	5310	5310	5310
2000	5428	5428	5428
2001	5576	5668	5760
2002	5699	5900	6101
2003	5796	6046	6296
2004	5884	6134	6384
2005	5964	6214	6464

Technology Related Growth In Chicago

"City's future promising as tech center, pros say"

- Chicago Sun-Times, Nov. 10, 2000

"Telecom hotels occupying developers' dreams"

- Chicago Tribune, Nov. 8, 2000

 ${\tt ComEd's\ service\ territory\ includes\ the\ 4th\ fastest\ growing\ digital\ economy\ in\ the\ country.}$

- Technology Today For Chicago's Tomorrow, Nov. 2000

Optimizing Opportunities From Demand Growth

Internet "Hotels"

- . High demand for data centers in both cities
 - Chicago has 24 applications for Server Hotels
 - Would add 600 mw of power demand
 - Equivalent to 40% of total current load in downtown Chicago
- . 99.999% reliability expectation
 - Targeted pricing for premium services
 - Within rate caps and existing tariffs

Financial Projections - 2001

	\$ Million
Revenues	\$ 9,580
Gross Margin	\$ 5,380
Operating Expenses	\$ 1,750
EBITDA	\$ 3,620
EBIT	\$ 2,460

Capital Projections - 2001

CapEx

PECO \$0.26 B

ComEd \$0.90 B

. - - - -

Total \$1.16 B

[This slide also contains a pie chart which shows the relative proportion of capital expenditures by type.]

New Business 20% Capacity/Reliability 65% Other 15%

Improving Customer Satisfaction

- . Targeting top quartile performance
- . Key drivers:
 - Reliability every day
 - Improving storm response
 - Customer communications
 - . timely, accurate information
 - Putting customers first
 - . streamlined processes
 - . self-service options

[This slide also contains a vertical bar chart showing the projected rating of Exelon Energy Delivery on the American Customer Satisfaction Index for the years 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003. Also shown for reference is the rating of the Industry Top Quartile (78).]

Year	Rating	
	(Out of 100)	
2000	66	
2001	72	
2002	75	
2003	78	

Industry Top Quartile Rating = 78

Maximizing Value From Assets

- . Driving down costs
 - Improving work processes
 - Improving productivity
 - Operational excellence: best practices and benchmarking
- . Realizing synergies
 - Accomplishing merger plans
 - Finding new areas of savings
- . Standardizing the Energy Delivery platform

Restructuring Is Working

Chicago

_ _ _ _ _ _

- . The transition is working smoothly; the marketplace is developing
- . New generation is being proposed and built in Illinois

Philadelphia

_ ____

- . 1/2/00 customer choice fully phased-in
- . PECO will meet 35% residential hurdle by 1/1/01

Optimizing Opportunities From Technology

Automated Meter Reading (AMR)

- . Starting in Philadelphia complete AMR installation underway
- . Realizing operational savings

Transmission Developments

- . Exelon withdrawing ComEd's system from Midwest ISO $\,$
 - Subject to regulatory approval
- . ComEd joining the Alliance Regional Transmission Organization $\,$
 - Facilitates transactions to and from East
 - Expected to be operational by year-end 2001

Summary

- . Protecting and enhancing the regulated revenue stream $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$
 - Improving reliability and customer satisfaction
- . Positioning for future positive regulatory outcomes
- . Cost management
- . Additional opportunities

Questions?

Exelon Enterprises

Michael J. Egan President & CEO, Exelon Enterprises

Exelon Enterprises Strategy

- . Leverage Exelon's:
 - physical assets
 - customer relationships
 - competencies: energy, energy services, infrastructure management and telecommunications
- . To generate value from:
 - utility outsourcing of construction and maintenance
 - rapid growth of voice, video and internet
 - consumers' need for energy services

Strategic Priorities

- . Competency-based development strategy
- . Develop pipeline of viable growth businesses
- . Create opportunities to extract value from customer relationships $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$
- . Rationalize existing investments

Exercise unrelenting financial discipline

Combined Portfolio Creates Opportunities

[This slide shows in a pictorial fashion the businesses, skills, and principal markets of the PECO and Unicom companies:]

	PEC0	Unicom
Businesses	Infrastructure Svcs	Mechanical Svcs
	Telecom	Energy Solutions
	Electric Sales	Gas Sales
Skills	Infrastructure Mgmt & Energy Logistics	Customer Solutions
	& Eller gy Logistics	3010110113
Principal	Telecom, Electric	Small & Mid-sized
Markets	Gas Utilities	Businesses

A Customer Focused Portfolio

[This slide depicts a circle formed by three overlapping arrows rotating counter-clockwise on the circumference. Inside each arrow is a legend: 1) "EIS"; 2) "Telecom", and 3) "Energy Services and Supply". In the center of the circle in bold face is the word "Customer". Surrounding the entire composition is another bold circle which is indicated to be "Exelon Capital Partners"]

Building the Infra-Com Concept

[This slide shows a simple line diagram on two intersecting axes. The vertical axis is noted as "Developing Competencies". The horizontal axis is noted as "Market Opportunities". From the origin of the axes, an arrow extends diagonally through three overlapping regions. The arrow is solid through the first two regions and dashed through the third region and beyond. The first region is indicated as "Regional Telecom, Infrastructure and Mechanical Services Businesses". The next region, which encompasses in its entirety this first region, is indicated as "National Infrastructure Services and Mechanical Services Businesses". The third region, which encompasses the first two, is indicated to be "National Telecom, Infrastructure Services and Energy Solutions Businesses."

The Enterprise Portfolio

. Exelon Infrastructure Services

The Enterprise Portfolio

- . Exelon Infrastructure Services (EIS)
- . Exelon Energy Solutions and Mechanical Services

The Enterprise Portfolio

- . Exelon Infrastructure Services (EIS)
- . Exelon Energy Solutions and Mechanical Services
- . Exelon Energy Supply

The Enterprise Portfolio

- . Exelon Infrastructure Services (EIS)
- . Exelon Energy Solutions and Mechanical Services
- . Exelon Energy Supply
- . Exelon Thermal

The Enterprise Portfolio

- . Exelon Infrastructure Services (EIS)
- . Exelon Energy Solutions and Mechanical Services
- . Exelon Energy Supply
- . Exelon Thermal
- . Exelon Communications

The Enterprise Portfolio

- . Exelon Infrastructure Services (EIS)
- . Exelon Energy Solutions and Mechanical Services
- . Exelon Energy Supply
- . Exelon Thermal
- . Exelon Communications
- . Exelon Capital Partners

Financial Performance Forecast

Enterprises Financial Projections

	2001
Revenue	\$ 3 B
EBITDA	\$140 M
EBIT	\$ 60 M
Net Income	\$(15 M)
CapEx	\$550 M

Questions?

Exelon Financial Overview

Ruth Ann Gillis Chief Financial Officer

Projected EPS to Common

[This slide is a vertical bar graph depicting projected earnings per share for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003.]

\$/Share

2001	\$4.50
2002	\$4.95
2003	\$5.40

2001: Earnings Expectations Adjustments

Original earnings expectations for 2001 \$4.20 / share

Increased 0&M & Depreciation

in Energy Delivery \$(0.30) / share

Lower Intangible/Regulatory Asset Amortization \$ 0.60 / share

- Reduced intangible/regulatory asset from \$1B to \$300 MM

- Partially offset by increased goodwill of \$700MM

- Net 2001 amortization reduced \$185 MM

Revised earnings expectations for 2001 \$ 4.50 / share

2001 Financial Projections

(\$ in millions, except per share data)

is, except per share data,	2001
Revenue	\$15,300
Fuel & Purchased Power	4,600
Operating Expenses	5,700
EBIT	3,500
Net Income to Common	1,430
Avg. Shares Outstanding	318
Earnings Per Share	\$4.50

2001 Projected Earnings by Business Segment

[This slide shows a pie chart with the 2001 projected earnings, in millions of dollars, from the three business segment: GenCo; Energy Delivery; and Enterprises:]

Segment EBIT

GenCo \$ 980
Energy Delivery \$2,460
Enterprises \$ 60

Total 2001 EBIT \$3,500 MM

2001 Projected CapEx by Business Segment

[This slide shows a pie chart with the 2001 projected Capital Expenditures, in millions of dollars, for the four business segments: GenCo; Energy Delivery; Enterprises, and BSG/CC:]

Segment	CapEx
GenCo	\$ 950
Energy Delivery	\$1,160
Enterprises	\$ 550
BSG/CC	\$ 40
Total 2001 CapEx	\$2,700 MM

Key Assumptions

	2001	2002	2003
Nuclear Capacity Factor	92%	90%	93%
Total GenCo Sales (GWh)	183,970	195,640	203,530
Total Delivery Sales (GWh)	125,500	127,700	130,700
Tot. Unreg. Retail Sales (GWh)	10,850	16,670	21,180
Volume Retention PED CED	65% 86%	64% 81%	64% 80%
Market Clearing Price (\$/MWh)		~\$34>	~\$30
Merger Synergies (\$MM)	\$135	\$225	\$265
Effective Tax Rate	38%	38%	38%

Rules of Thumb

- -/+ 1% Load Growth = -/+ \$0.06 EPS
- -/+ 1% Capacity Factor = -/+ \$0.07 EPS
- -/+ \$1 Wholesale Market Price = -/+ \$0.07 EPS
- - 1% Effective Tax Rate = + \$0.09 EPS

Long Range Plan Drivers (2001-2003)

- . Cost synergies and revenue enhancements
- . Increased nuclear generation and efficiency improvements
- . Power marketing wholesale volume growth and market prices $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$
- . AmerGen
- . Sithe
- . Enterprises growth
- . Customer choice
- . Capital expenditures

Purchase Accounting Overview - Goodwill

Purchase Price \$	5.9
Less: UCM Book Value	3.7
Merger Related Goodwill \$	2.2
Merger Related Goodwill \$	2.2
Plus: Goodwill resulting	
from Fair Value Write-down	2.4
Tatal Canduill	4 6*
Total Goodwill \$	4.6*

 $^{^{\}star}$ Goodwill will be amortized over 40 years. In addition, \$300 million of regulatory asset will be amortized over the years 2001 - 2003.

PRELIMINARY, SUBJECT TO AUDIT

Purchase Accounting Overview - Goodwill

(\$billions)	Proxy Statement	Current
Merger Related Goodwill	\$2.293	\$2.250
Fair Value Goodwill	1.550	2.341
Intangible Asset	1.000	0.300
Total	\$4.843 =====	\$4.891 =====

PRELIMINARY, SUBJECT TO AUDIT

Questions?