SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): April 4, 2001

Registrant; State of Incorporation; IRS Employer Identification No. Commission File Number

EXELON CORPORATION 1-16169 23-2990190

(a Pennsylvania corporation) 37th Floor, 10 South Dearborn Street Post Office Box A-3005 Chicago, Illinois 60690-3005 312/394-4321

Item 5. Other Events.

Set forth below is the text of the slides used during Salomon Smith Barney's Global Power & Merchant Energy Conference which was held in New York City on April 3 and 4, 2001. Exelon Corporation was scheduled to present this material on Wednesday April 4, 2001.

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on management's current expectations and are subject to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. Actual results may vary materially from the expectations contained herein. The forward-looking statements herein include statements about future financial and operating results of Exelon Corporation. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those described herein: economic, business, competitive and/or regulatory factors affecting Exelon's businesses generally. More detailed information about those factors is set forth in the joint proxy statement/ prospectus regarding the merger and in the PECO Energy, Unicom Corporation and Exelon Corporation reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this presentation. Exelon Corporation does not undertake any obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this presentation.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

Number Description of Exhibit

- 1. None
- 2. None
- 4. None
- 16. None
- 17. None
- 20. None
- 23. None
- 24. None
- 27. None
- 99. Exelon slide presentation at Salomon Smith Barney's Global Power and Merchant Energy Conference

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Exelon Corporation (Registrant)

Date: April 4, 2001 /s/ Ruth Ann M. Gillis By:

Ruth Ann M. Gillis Senior VP & CFO

The Generation and Marketing of Electricity at Exelon

Jack Skolds Ken Cornew
Chief Operating Officer VP, Long-term Transactions
Exelon Nuclear Exelon PowerTeam

Salomon Smith Barney
Power & Merchant Energy April 2001

Important Notice

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on management's current expectations and are subject to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. Actual results may vary materially from the expectations contained herein. The forward-looking statements herein include statements about future financial and operating results of Exelon Corporation. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those described herein: economic, business, competitive and/or regulatory factors affecting Exelon's businesses generally. More detailed information about those factors is set forth in the joint proxy statement/ prospectus regarding the merger and in the reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by PECO Energy Company, Unicom Corporation and Exelon. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this presentation. Exelon Corp. does not undertake any obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this presentation.

Exelon's Integrated Strategy

[This slide is a diagram which illustrates the integrated strategy. The three main business segments are shown as interlocking trapezoids arranged horizontally: 1) Energy Delivery; 2) Generation and Power Marketing; 3) Enterprises. Extending under all of the trapezoids is a thin rectangle.]

[Legends in the trapezoids:]

Energy Delivery

- --------

steady source of earnings 5 million customers deregulated markets

Generation and Power Marketing

primary growth vehicle in the near term 47 GWs unregulated power including 17 GWs nuclear $\,$

Enterprises

_.....p. <u>__</u>

platform for future growth in energy, telecommunications and energy-services arena $\ensuremath{\mathsf{e}}$

[Legend in the rectangle:]

Linking capabilities, assets and market presence to create continuous opportunities to build shareholder value $\,$

Genco's Strategy

- . Develop national generation portfolio with fuel and dispatch diversity $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$
- . Grow asset portfolio through:
 - . mergers/acquisitions
 - . development
 - . innovative technology

 - . joint ventures . long-term off-take contracts
- . Drive cost and operational leadership through proven fleet management and economies of scale $\,$
- . Optimize value of our low-cost position through power marketing expertise $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

NYSE; EXC

Exelon Nuclear's Vision -- to be the World's Premier Nuclear Operator.

To achieve that vision, we will
. increase fleet output
. improve fleet efficiency

- sustain fleet operational safety and excellence

Exelon and AmerGen Nuclear Fleet

[This slide shows a portion of a map of the United States which includes the Midwestern, Middle Atlantic, and New England States. Highlighted on the map, in colored markers, are the locations of Exelon Nuclear (blue) and AmerGen (red) nuclear generating units. Noted on the map in the upper left-hand corner are these details: 16,700 MWe, 10 sites - 17 units, 3 states, Committed to growth. The Exelon logo appears at the bottom of the map.]

[The Generating Units are located as follows]

In Illinois

Exelon Nuclear

Braidwood Units 1 and 2 Byron Units 1 and 2 Dresden Units 2 and 3 LaSalle County Units 1 and 2 Quad Cities Units 1 and 2

AmerGen

Clinton Station Unit 1

In Pennsylvania

Exelon Nuclear

Limerick Units 1 and 2 Peach Bottom Units 1 and 2 Salem Units 1 and 2

AmerGen

TMI Unit 1

In New Jersey

AmerGen

Oyster Creek Unit 1

Increasing Nuclear Fleet Production

Increase fleet capacity factor:

- - Reduce average refueling duration from 30 to 20 days
- - Reduce forced outage rate from 2.6% to 1.5% aggressively manage all threats to generation improve material condition
- - Implement best-in-class operating practices fleet-wide

[This slide contains a vertical bar chart showing projected average capacity factor for the fleet for the years 2001, 2002 and 2003. Indicated below each year are the number of refueling outages scheduled in that particular year.]

Capacity Factor

2001	91.6	7 RF0's
2002	90.0	11 RF0's
2003	93.6	8 RF0's

Refueling Performance Leadership

We've demonstrated world-class refueling outage experience:

- . Fleet average refueling duration is 22 days
 - 8 of 9 shortest outages in U.S. in 2000
 - Exelon Nuclear holds duration records for U.S. refuelings
- . Exelon fleet plants have out-paced industry performance
- . The economic value of reducing outage duration by one day is \sim \$640,000/unit
- . Refueling outage execution is a competitive differentiator

[This slide also contains a vertical bar chart showing, side by side, the average refueling outage duration in days during the years 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000 for the U.S. Industry and Exelon.]

Year	U.S.	Industry	Exelor
1997		64	58
1998		51	53
1999		40	30
2000		39	22

More Capacity -- Increased Generation

Exelon Nuclear is adding capacity:

- . Planned power uprates on ten units -- up to 885 MW
- . Other planned capacity additions via modifications, feedwater flow improvement, etc.
- . Purchase of additional 7% of Peach Bottom
- . Combination of improved capacity factors and added capability will increase net generation through 2003 by up to 9 million MWh. $\,$

New capacity additions will be developed at cost well below that of new gas generation $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

Exelon Nuclear's Competitive Cost Advantage

The fleet has competitive operating costs:

- . Fleet aggregate cost is better than nuclear industry median
- . Production costs decreased 9.5% in 2000
- . 4 Plants are currently in nuclear best quartile for production costs
- . Exelon fleet fuel cost per $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MWh}}$ is in the industry best quartile

[This slide also contains a vertical bar chart showing the projected average production cost in dollars per megawatt-hour for the years 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003. Also shown on the chart are reference lines for the 1999 Industry Median (\$17.10) and the 1999 Top Quartile (\$14.52).]

Year	\$/MWh
2000	14.64
2001	14.16
2002	14.20
2003	13.46

Continued Cost Reduction Plans

- . Planned O&M cost reduction of 8-10% between 2000-2003
 - Capture merger synergies
 - Bring economies of fleet scale to single unit sites
 - Planned staffing reductions to achieve standard "best in fleet"
 - Materials, equipment, services cost reductions through price, volume, consolidation synergies $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$
- . Additional fuel cost reductions planned
 - Contract management, leverage
 - All aspects of fuel design and management
- . We will transfer knowledge of achieving low cost operations fleet-wide

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{2}}$ cents per kilowatt-hour all-in cost target is competitive under any view of the future

LICENSE EXTENSION

	License expiration	Renewals plans
Peach Bottom 2 and 3	2013, 2014	Application will be submitted summer 2001
Dresden 2 and 3	2009, 2011	Application will be submitted 2003
Quad Cities 1 and 2	2012	Application will be submitted 2003
Oyster Creek	2009	Under review
TMI-1	2014	Under review

We will continued to run our plants as long as they can be operated safely and profitably

NYSE; Exc

Why the Nuclear Renaissance?

Nuclear Power in the U.S. has established a strong track record

- . Long history of safe, improved operations
- . Significantly improved economics
- . Recognition of environmental advantages
- . Predictable, constructive nuclear regulatory environment
- . Fuel price stability

Nuclear Power Offers Fuel Price Stability

[This slide contains two vertical bar charts, side by side]

[The left chart is titled: Fuel Cost as a Percentage of Production Cost. It is a stacked vertical chart showing the relative percentage of fuel cost to production cost for four fuel types: Nuclear, Coal, Oil, and Gas.

	Fuel	0&M	Total
Nuclear	27%	73%	100%
Coal	70%	30%	100%
Oil	76%	24%	100%
Gas	80%	20%	100%

[The right chart is titled: Historical Fuel Cost Volatiliy. It is a vertical bar chart showing three side by side bars representing the average fuel cost, in mils per kilowatt-hour, for nuclear, fossil, and gas turbines in each of the 5 years, 1995 through 1999]

	Nuclear	Fossil	Gas Turbines
1995	5.75	16.07	20.83
1996	5.50	16.51	30.58
1997	5.42	16.80	24.94
1998	5.39	15.94	23.02
1999	5.17	15.62	28.72

Nuclear power as a substantial portion of a balanced supply portfolio constitutes a competitive advantage $\,$

[Logo for Exelon]

Generation Supply Footprint 2001 - 2003

[This slide depicts a map of North America which identifies each of the National Electricity Reliability Council regions and indicates the amount of Exelon generation in each region:]

WSCC 200 MW MAPP 0 MW **ERCOT** 1,060 MW SPP 800 MW 21,875 MW Plus 1,000 MW from power uprates MAIN FRCC O MW SERC 1,000 MW **ECAR** MACC 11,000 MW NPCC 5,900 MW Plus 3,600 MW under development

[The slide also contains four boxes with additional information:]

[First box:] 37,500 MW in operation 4,800 MW in construction 4,7000 MW in development

47,000 MW* TOTAL*

[Second box:]

plus 220 MW in construction and under development in Mexico

[Third box:]

plus 340 MW of CT peaking capacity currently under development

[Fourth Box]

 $\dot{*}$ assumes completion of Sithe acquisition and power uprate projects by 2003

Power Marketing Strategy

- . Lead industry in asset-based, market driven power marketing $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$
- . Drive generation asset growth through Power Team's unique market knowledge and analytical expertise
- . Market focused portfolio that allows for sale of innovative premium products
- . Pursue financial trading to complement a physical portfolio
- . Manage risk through optimal mix of long and short-term supply obligations

[This slide also contains a stacked vertical bar chart showing the projected Gigawatt-hour Deliveries for the years 1999 through 2003 differentiated by

- 1) 2) Pre-Merger Market Sales, Sales to PECO,
- 3) Affiliate Sales,
- 4) Market Sales,
- 5) Sithe*

			GWh Deliveri	es	
Year	Pre-Merger Market Sales	Sales to PECO	Affiliate Sales	Market Sales	Sithe*
1999	43,154	48,466			
2000	23,491	24,393			
2001			107,000	77,000	Θ
2002			103,500	92,100	Θ
2003			103,300	100,300	30,000

^{*} assumes acquisition of 100% of Sithe assets

Value-Creating Growth

- - Sithe acquisition
 - 49.9% in 2000
 - Expect to acquire in 3-5 years
- - 500 MW of new peaking capacity 160MW sited in LaPorte, TX in agreement with Air Products for summer 2001
- - Continuous Portfolio Growth Strategy for Target Regions
 - Asset Acquisitions
 - Long-Term Off-take Agreements
 - Joint-Ventures

[This slide contains a stacked vertical bar chart showing the projected Gigawatt-hour Supply for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003 differentiated by 1) Owned Assets; 2) EME PPA, 3) Acquisitions/Growth and 4) Sithe*.]

Year	Owned Assets	EME PPA	Acq./Growth	Sithe*	Total
2001	123,000	31,000	30,100	0	184,100
2002	125,000	20,000	51,000	0	196,000
2003	129,400	9,000	65,200	30,000	233,600

 $^{^{\}star}$ assumes acquisition of 100% of Sithe assets

Combining Portfolios to Enhance Margins

[This slide shows a diagram which illustrates how combining this portfolio will enhance margins. There are four ovals at each corner of the slide surrounding a center oval. Arrows point from each outer oval to the center. The center oval is inscribed "Premium Product Sales"; the outer ovals are inscribed: upper left-"ComEd Generation"; upper right- "PECO Generation"; lower left- "AmerGen Generation"; and lower right- "Contracted Supply". ComEd Generation is associated with "Midwest Base Load in winter, Spring, and Fall". PECO Generation is associated with "Mid-Atlantic Intermediate and Peaking Year-round". AmerGen Generation is associated with "Regionally Diverse Intermediate and Peaking". Between the ComEd Generation and the PECO Generation ovals is the phrase: "Decreased costs from combining supply resources". Between the AmerGen Generation and the Contracted Supply ovals is the phrase: "Increased revenue from enhanced product offerings".]

Our Competitive Advantages

- . A reliable, diverse and low cost supply portfolio
- . Firm transmission positions
- A large native load hedge
- . Skill set strengths in physical delivery, fossil fuel management, generation development, risk/credit management
- Active and experienced in creation of, and participation in open markets

Power Team: Looking Ahead

[This slide shows a graphic of three rectangular boxes arranged horizontally. The boxes are titled from left to right: 1) Power Team Competitive Advantage, 2) Primary Value, and 3) Secondary Value. There are arrows depicted between the boxes indicating that the advantages described in the first box lead to the primary values in the second box, and that the primary values lead to the secondary values in the third box. There is text in each box as follows:]

Power Team Competitive Advantage

Deep understanding of the physical electricity market, infrastructure and

regulatory underpinnings

Primary Value

Differentiation by product delivery

Preferred counter-party status due to reputation for 100% reliability

Secondary Value

Ability to leverage knowledge of physical market in hedging and trading of financial instruments

[Logo for Exelon]

Power Team

Our goal is simple: To be the World's Best Power Marketer.

[This slide shows a equilateral triangle inscribed with the words "Market Focused". Written at the top of the triangle is "Fuel Diversity"; at the lower left is "National Reach"; at the lower right is "Asset-based Portfolio". On each side of the triangle are words which indicate a link between the two points: between National Reach and Fuel Diversity is the word "Innovation"; between Fuel Diversity and Asset-based Portfolio is the word "Reliability"; and between Asset-based Portfolio and National Reach is the phrase "Competitive Costs".]

GenCo Financial Projections

	2001	
Revenue	\$6.4 B	
Gross Margin	\$2.9 B	
O&M / A&G	\$1.4 B	
EBIT	\$980 M	
СарЕх	\$950 M	_
	2001 2002	

2001-2003

Revenue growth 12%

- . Increasing Volume
 - 15% annual market sales growth
- ...despite:
- . Declining forward curves
 - 10% reduction over 2001-2003 timeframe

- -----

Exelon's Investor Proposition

- . 47 GWs low-cost supply in power hungry markets*
- . Forecasted 2001 revenues of \$15B, EPS of \$4.50, and 10% earnings growth through 2003 $\,$
- . Appetite for growth tempered by unrelenting commitment to financial discipline
- . Proven ability to seize opportunity and execute
- . Demonstrated focus on cost optimization
- . Tremendous depth and strength of management team
- * assumes completion of Sithe acquisition and power uprate projects by 2003

Questions?

"SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION"

[The following pages of material were distributed as hard copies to meeting participants.] $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular}$

Generation Supply FOOTPRINT Details of Exelon Generating Capacity in GW'S

	betails of Excion denerally	ig oupdoity in		
Nuclear				
Limerick		2.3	MAAC	
Peach Bot	tom	1.0	MAAC	
Salem	COIII	0.9	MAAC	
Three Mil	e Tsland	0.8	MAAC	
Oyster Cr		0.6	MAAC	
Clinton		0.9	MAIN	
	former Unicom) Fleet	9.7	MAIN	
	Existing Nuclear	16.2		
	Power Uprates	10.2		
	Total Nuclear	17.2		
	TOTAL NUCLEAR	11.2		
Fossil				
PECO Flee	t	5.5	MAAC	
Sithe	C	5.9	NPCC	
Orene		0.1	MAAC	
		0.2	WSCC	
	Fossil Total	11.6		
Contracto				
Contracts				
	Unicom PPA	10.9	MAIN	
	Tenaska - Grimes	0.9	ERCOT	
	Tenaska - Heard	1.0	SERC	
	Cogentrix	0.8	SPP	
	Contracts Total	13.6		
Under Constructio	n or Dovolonment			
Peaking C		0.5		
Sithe - M	exico	0.2		
Sithe - N	PCC	3.7		
	Development Total	4.4		

46.8

EXELON PORTFOLIO TOTAL

EXELON Nuclear Fleet

	Braidwood	Byron	Dresden	LaSalle
Current Owner(s)	Exelon	Exelon	Exelon	Exelon
Ownership Interest	100%	100%	100%	100%
Plant Size	2,308 MW (PWR)	2,300 MW (PWR)	1,586 MW (BWR)	2,280 MW (BWR)
MW Owned	2,308 MW	2,300 MW	1,586 MW	2,280 MW
Site Type	Dual unit	Dual unit	Dual unit	Dual unit
Power Pool	MAIN	MAIN	MAIN	MAIN
Plant Start Date License Expiration	1988 Unit 1-2026 Unit 2-2027	Unit 1-1985 Unit 2-1987 Unit 1-2024 Unit 2-2026	Unit 2-1970 Unit 3-1971 Unit 2-2009 Unit 3-2011	Unit 1-1984 Unit 2-1984 Unit 1-2022 Unit 2-2023

	Quad Cities	Limerick	Peach Bottom	Salem
Current Owner(s)	Exelon / MidAmerican Energy Holdings	Exelon	Exelon / PSE&G	Exelon / PSE&G
Ownership Interest	75%	100%	50% (3.75% still pending)	42.6% Non-Operator
Plant Size	1,562 MW (BWR)	2,284 MW (BWR)	2,185 MW (BWR)	2,212 MW (PWR)
MW Owned	1,172 MW	2,284 MW	1,093 MW	942 MW
Site Type	Dual unit	Dual unit	Dual unit	Dual Unit
Power Pool	MAIN	PJM	PJM	PJM
Plant Start Date License Expiration	1973 2012	Unit 1 -1986 Unit 2 -1990 Unit 1 -2024 Unit 2 -2029	1974 Unit 2 -2013 Unit 3 -2014	Unit 1 -1977 Unit 2 -1981 Unit 1 -2016 Unit 2 -2020

AmerGen Acquisitions

	TMI Unit 1	Clinton	Oyster Creek
Seller	GPU	Illinova	GPU
Plant Size	786 MW (PWR)	930 MW BWR	619 MW (BWR)
Power Pool	PJM	MAIN	PJM - East
Ownership Interest	100% AmerGen	100% AmerGen	100% AmerGen
Plant Start date License expiration	1974 April 2014	1987 September 2026	1969 April 2009

	Conowingo	Muddy Run	Cromby	Eddystone
Ownership Interest	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of Units	11	8	2	4
Net Capacity (MW)	512	977	345	1,341
Fuel Type	Hydroelectric	Pumped Storage	Unit 1: scrubbed coal Unit 2: natural gas OR #6 oil	Units 1&2: scrubbed coal Units 3&4: nat gas OR #6 oil
Power Pool	РЈМ	РЈМ	РЈМ	РЈМ
Dispatch Order	Baseload (Run of River)	Peaking	Intermediate	Intermediate
Plant Location	Maryland	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania
	Fairless Hills	Schuykill	Delaware	Distributed Gen
Ownership Interest	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of Units	2	1	2	42
Net Capacity (MW)	60	166	250	1,049
Fuel Type	Landfill gas	#6 oil	#6 oil	Oil, natural gas, diesel
Power Pool	PJM	РЈМ	РЈМ	РЈМ
Dispatch Order	Peaking	Peaking	Peaking	Intermediate, Peaking
Plant Location	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania
	Conemaugh	Keystone		
Ownership Interest	20.72%	20.99%		
Number of Units	2	2		
Net Capacity (MW)	352	357		
Fuel Type	Mine-mouth Coal-fired	Mine-mouth Coal-f	ired	
Power Pool	PJM	РЈМ		
Dispatch Order	Baseload	Baseload		
Plant Location	Western Pennsylvania	Western Pennsylva	nia	

Sithe Assets by Region

	Net MW in Operating	Net MW under construction	Net MW in Advanced Development
NEPOOL NYPP Ontario Mexico Q.F.'s	2,051 243 157 - 1,331	2,421 - - 114 -	540 1,392 1,670 114
TOTAL	3,782	2,535	3,716

Region	Plant Name	Net Capacity	Fuel Type	Dispatch Order	Location
IEPool	Mystic 1	12	Oil	Peaking	Everett, MA
perating:	Mystic 4	135	0il	Intermediate	Everett, MA
	Mystic 5	130	0il	Intermediate	Everett, MA
	Mystic 6	138	0il	Intermediate	Everett, MA
	Mystic 7	592	0il	Intermediate	Everett, MA
	New Boston 1	380	Gas	Intermediate	South Boston, MA
	New Boston 2	380	Gas	Intermediate	South Boston, MA
	New Boston 3	20	0il	Peaking	South Boston, MA
	Wyman 4	36	0il	Intermediate	Yarmouth, Maine
	West Medway 1	55	Gas/Oil	Peaking	West Medway, MA
	West Medway 2	55	Gas/Oil	Peaking	West Medway, MA
	West Medway 3	55	Gas/Oil	Peaking	West Medway, MA
	Framingham 1	13	0il	Peaking	Framingham, MA
	Framingham 2	11	0il	Peaking	Framingham, MA
	Framingham 3	13	0il	Peaking	Framingham, MA
	Fore River 1	13	0il	Peaking	Weymouth, MA
	Fore River 2	13	0il	Peaking	Weymouth, MA
	Sub Total	2,051		· ·	,
evelopment:					
	Mystic 8	807	Gas	Baseload	Everett, MA
	Mystic 9	807	Gas	Baseload	Everett, MA
	Fore River 3	807	Gas	Baseload	Weymouth, MA
	SubTotal	2,421			
	Total NEPool	4,472			

1

Region	Plant Name	Net Capacity	Fuel Type	Dispatch Order	Location
NYPP					
Operating:	Massena	66	Gas	Intermediate	Massena, NY
	Ogdensburg	71	Gas	Intermediate	Ogdensburg, NY
	Batavia	50	Gas	Intermediate	Batavia, NY
	Sterling	56	Gas	Intermediate	Sherrill, NY
	Total NYPP	243			
QFs					
	Independence	1,024	Gas	Baseload	Oswego, NY
	Cardinal	157	Gas	Baseload	Cardinal, Ontario, Canada
	Kenilworth	26	Gas	Baseload	Kenilworth, NJ
	Allegheny 5	10	Hydro	Intermediate	Allegheny River, PA
	Allegheny 6	9	Hydro	Intermediate	Allegheny River, PA
	Allegheny 8	14	Hydro	Intermediate	Allegheny River, PA
	Allegheny 9	18	Hydro	Intermediate	Allegheny River, PA
	Greeley	48	Gas	Baseload	Greeley, Colorado
	0xnard	48	Gas	Baseload	Oxnard, California
	Naval New	45	Oil	Baseload	San Diego, CA
	North Island	37	Oil	Baseload	San Diego, CA
	NTC MCRD	23	Oil	Baseload	San Diego, CA
	Bypass	10	Hydro	Baseload	Jerome County, Idaho
	Hazelton	9	Hydro	Baseload	Jerome County, Idaho
	Elk Creek	2	Hydro	Baseload	Boise, Idaho
	Rock Creek	4	Hydro	Baseload	El Dorado County, California
	Mont Creek	3	Hydro	Baseload	Shasta County, California
	Ivy River	1	Hydro	Baseload	Madison County, North Carolina
	Total QFs	1,488			
International					
	Cemex-Sithe	114	Pet Coke	PPA	Tamuin, Mexico
	International	114			
	Total	6,317			

Projects in Advanced Development

Project	Capacity 	Likelihood of Occurrence
West Medway	540 MW	Peakers in final permitting and highly probable of occurrence
TEG II, Mexico	230 MW	Project with CEMEX of high probability
Torne Valley, NY	800 MW	Faces permitting difficulties in NY and local opposition
Heritage, NY	800 MW	Requires GE's development of "H" technology
Ontario (2 sites)	1,600 MW	Sites under option. Depends on successful implementation of de-regulation in Ontario